INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
OFFICE OF THE STATE FIRE MARSHAL
REGARDING THE 2010 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS TITLE 24, PART 3
2010 ANNUAL RULEMAKING CYCLE

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that an Initial Statement of Reasons be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

STATEMENT OF SPECIFIC PURPOSE AND RATIONALE
(Government Code Section 11346.2)

The specific purpose of this rulemaking effort by the Office of the State Fire Marshal (SFM) is to act in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 18928, which requires all proposed regulations to specifically comply with this section in regards to the adoption by reference with amendments to a model code within one year after its publication.

The actions described above are reasonably necessary to carry out the purpose for which it is proposed. The rationale for these actions is to establish minimum requirements for the prevention of fire and for the protection of life and property against fire and panic in occupancies addressed in the 2008 National Electrical Code and published as the 2010 California Electrical Code.

The general purpose of this proposed action is principally intended to update the 2010 California Electrical Code (California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 3) based upon updated information or recent actions of the SFM. This proposed action:

- Repeal certain amendments to the 2008 National Electrical Code and/or California Building Standards not addressed by the model code that are no longer necessary nor justified pursuant with Health and Safety Code 18930(a)(7).
- Adopt and implement additional necessary amendments to the 2010 California Electrical Code that address inadequacies of the 2008 National Electrical Code as they pertain to California laws.
- Codify non-substantive editorial and formatting amendments to the 2010 California Electrical Code.

The specific purpose and rationale of each adoption, amendment, or repeal is as follows:

[Item No. 1 Correct adopted reference standard edition]

210.12
640.3, 640.9
760.1, 760.1.1, 760.176, 760.179
Annex G - Section (C)
The SFM is proposing amendment to the above listed sections to update the edition of the referenced NFPA 72 standard to the current 2010 edition. These amendments further correlate the NFPA 72 edition with other Parts of Title 24 – 2010 California Building, Fire and Residential Codes as currently adopted and amended.

The actions described above are reasonably necessary to carry out the purpose for which it is proposed. The rationale for these actions is to establish minimum requirements for the prevention of fire and for the protection of life and property against fire and panic in occupancies that are addressed in the 2009 National Electrical Code and published as the 2010 California Electrical Code pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 13108, 13113, 13114, 13131.5, 13143, 17921, and 18949.2.

TECHNICAL, THEORETICAL, AND EMPIRICAL STUDY, REPORT, OR SIMILAR DOCUMENTS:
(Government Code Section 11346.2(b)(2)

The SFM did not rely on any technical, theoretical, and empirical study, report, or similar documents outside of those contained in this rulemaking in proposing that CBSC adopt said model code as a reference standard for the placement of existing SFM regulatory amendments for the California Building Standards Codes.

CONSIDERATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES
(Government Code Section 11346.2(b)(3)(A)

The SFM has determined that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed adoption by reference with SFM amendments. Therefore, there are no alternatives available to the SFM regarding the proposed adoption of an electrical code.

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES THE AGENCY HAS IDENTIFIED THAT WOULD LESSEN ANY ADVERSE IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESS.
(Government Code Section 11346.2(b)(3)(B)

The SFM has determined that no alternative available would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed adoption by reference with SFM amendments. Therefore, no alternatives have been identified or that have otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the SFM that would lessen any adverse impact on small business.

FACTS, EVIDENCE, DOCUMENTS, TESTIMONY, OR OTHER EVIDENCE OF NO SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACT ON BUSINESS.
(Government Code Section 11346.2(B)(4)

The SFM has made a determination that this proposed action will not have a significant adverse economic impact on business. Health and Safety Code Section 18928 requires the SFM, when proposing the adoption of a model code, national standard, or specification shall reference the most recent edition of the applicable model code, national standard, or specification. Therefore, there are no other facts, evidence, documents, testimony, or other evidence on which the SFM relies to support this rulemaking.

DUPLICATION OR CONFLICTS WITH FEDERAL REGULATIONS
(Government Code Section 113465.2(b)(5)

The SFM has determined that this proposed rulemaking action does not unnecessary duplicate or conflict with federal regulations contained in the Code of Federal Regulations that address the same issues as this proposed rulemaking.